

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/359209538>

# Addressing the Elephant in the Room: Intravenous Injection of COVID-19 mRNA

Article in *Clinical Infectious Diseases* · March 2022

DOI: 10.1093/cid/ciac131

CITATIONS

0

READS

108

4 authors, including:



**Yoshio Masuda**

National University of Singapore

21 PUBLICATIONS 16 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



**Qin Xiang Ng**

National University of Singapore

77 PUBLICATIONS 1,619 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



**Seth Teoh**

National University of Singapore

14 PUBLICATIONS 21 CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

## Addressing the Elephant in the Room: Intravenous Injection of Coronavirus Disease 2019 mRNA

To the Editor—We read with great interest the article by Li et al who found that inadvertent intravenous (IV) injections of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) messenger RNA (mRNA) vaccines may cause acute myopericarditis in a murine model [1]. Although pertinent, we suggest that their findings be interpreted in the context of certain limitations and weighed against the broader context.

Safety monitoring of the millions of doses of COVID-19 mRNA vaccines administered worldwide has found a generally low incidence of myocarditis among vaccine recipients, at 2 to 4 cases per 100 000 persons [2, 3]. Younger males appear to be at the highest risk of post-vaccination myocarditis [3].

In the present in vivo study, only the IV group showed histopathological changes of myopericarditis, not the group that received the intramuscular BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine. However, it is important to note that the authors administered 0.25 µg of BNT162b2 per gram of body weight to the mice, which is a much larger dose per body weight than would be used in humans. These doses were meant to elicit an immune response similar to that observed in humans [1]; however, reactogenicity and the appearance of vaccine-related adverse events only correlates weakly to immunogenicity [4]. Additionally, it is likely that the same antibody response could be induced with a smaller dose. Walsh et al found that two 20-µg doses of

BNT162b2 elicit a similar protective response in those aged 18 to 55 years compared with two 30-µg doses [5]. Given that the average adult weighs an estimated 62 kg [6], the dose administered to mice is thus disproportionately higher. Accordingly, we may expect a much greater inflammatory response, albeit the mechanism for post-vaccination myopericarditis remains elusive. Complicating the applicability of the murine model in determining the ideal human dose would be the difficulty in extrapolation due to the size difference and attendant differences in metabolism [7]. The authors could have experimented with a larger range of doses and with more weight-comparable dosing as the focus was on post-vaccination myopericarditis rather than antibody levels per se.

Perhaps out of an abundance of caution, the authors also suggested that aspirating during vaccination may be a possible way to reduce such risks. However, this is not standard practice as the COVID-19 vaccines are routinely injected over the deltoid muscles, which do not have any major blood vessels or nerves nearby. With proper technique and localization, it is unnecessary for aspiration to be performed. There is no evidence that it is effective or that failing to do so causes harm to patients [8, 9].

In this context, although the risks of post-vaccination myocarditis are duly noted, no change is needed to current protocols when vaccinating the population against COVID-19. An abundance of caution with the brief withdrawal of the syringe plunger to exclude blood aspiration is unlikely to yield benefits.

## Note

**Potential conflicts of interest.** All authors: No reported conflicts of interest. All authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest.

Chun Huan Yau,<sup>1,a</sup> Yoshio Masuda,<sup>2</sup> Qin Xiang Ng,<sup>3</sup> and Seth En Teoh<sup>2,a</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Medicine, University of Tasmania, Australia; <sup>2</sup>Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore; and <sup>3</sup>Ministry of Health Holdings, Singapore

## References

- Li C, Chen Y, Zhao Y, et al. Intravenous injection of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) mRNA vaccine can induce acute myopericarditis in mouse model. *Clin Infect Dis* 2021.
- Witberg G, Barda N, Hoss S, et al. Myocarditis after Covid-19 vaccination in a large health care organization. *N Engl J Med* 2021; 385:2132–9.
- Husby A, Hansen JV, Fosbøl E, et al. SARS-CoV-2 vaccination and myocarditis or myopericarditis: population based cohort study. *BMJ* 2021; 375:e068665.
- Held J, Esse J, Tascilar K, et al. Reactogenicity correlates only weakly with humoral immunogenicity after COVID-19 vaccination with BNT162b2 mRNA (Comirnaty). *Vaccines (Basel)* 2021; 9:1063.
- Walsh EE, Frenck RW, Falsey AR, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of two RNA-based Covid-19 vaccine candidates. *N Engl J Med* 2020; 383:2439–50.
- Walpole SC, Prieto-Merino D, Edwards P, Cleland J, Stevens G, Roberts I. The weight of nations: an estimation of adult human biomass. *BMC Public Health* 2012; 12:439.
- Nair AB, Jacob SA. Simple practice guide for dose conversion between animals and human. *J Basic Clin Pharm* 2016; 7:27–31.
- Sisson H. Aspirating during the intramuscular injection procedure: a systematic literature review. *J Clin Nurs* 2015; 24:2368–75.
- Hause AM, Baggs J, Marquez P, et al. COVID-19 vaccine safety in children aged 5–11 years—United States, November 3–December 19, 2021. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2021; 70:1755–60.

<sup>a</sup>C. H. Y. and S. E. T. are co-first authors.

Correspondence: Seth En Teoh, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, 1E Kent Ridge Rd, Singapore 119228, Singapore (e0659260@u.nus.edu).

**Clinical Infectious Diseases®** 2022

© The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press for the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. For permissions, e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciac131>